

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/889,414	11/13/2001	John S Pears	P 0281558	3743
9629	7590 06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
1.101101	LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLF YLVANIA AVENUE NW	MELLER, MICHAEL V		
•	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1654	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
• Office Action Summary		09/889,414	PEARS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Michael V. Meller	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>0 March 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-10,12-15 and 33-38 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-10,13-15 and 33-38 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1, 2, 4-6, 12 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	oian priority under 25 H S	C 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2)  Noti	nt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sler No(s)/Mail Date	B/08) Pap 5) ☐ Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO er:	p-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/889,414

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of fenofibrate as the second drug is noted.

Claims 7-10, 13-15 remain withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to non-elected subject matter.

Newly submitted claims 33-38 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: they encompass a kit which has separate components from the claimed combination and a method of using the claimed combination which can be used in different methods such treating wounds.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 33-38 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

The restriction requirement is made FINAL.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,414

Art Unit: 1654

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtet et al., EP 96400133, JP 401254624 or JP 405194209 taken with EP 521471, Liao et al. or WO 99/22728.

Since the references each teach that the individual components of the composition are known in the art to be used for the same purpose, then it is obvious to combine them into a single composition.

It is well known that it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two or more ingredients each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition which is useful for the same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. *In re Sussman,* 1943 C.D. 518; *In re Pinten,* 459 F.2d 1053, 173 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1972); *In re Susi,* 58 CCPA 1074, 1079-80; 440 F.2d 442, 445; 169 USPQ 423, 426 (1971); *In re Crockett,* 47 CCPA 1018, 1020-21; 279 F.2d 274, 276-277; 126 USPQ 186, 188 (1960).

Applicants argue that the individual components are allegedly not obvious to combine together because they supposedly would have hade negative effects on the body but the fact of the matter is that the law is clear in this area. If the components are used individually in the prior art for the same purpose then to combine them into one

Application/Control Number: 09/889,414

Art Unit: 1654

composition is prima facie obvious. Applicant's direction is once again directed to the above case law which was provided for applicant's use. The law is clear and so is this rejection. Speculation by the applicant and others may have been at one time but it was clear that the components were each known <u>individually</u> in the art to be used for the <u>same</u> purpose. Thus, by definition, it is obvious to combine them into one composition to be used to treat the same purpose as before they were combined.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 571-272-0967. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654

MVM